



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/444,774   | 11/22/1999  | MICHAEL G MIKURAK      | 60021-334801        | 9073             |
| 29838 7590 08/07/2008<br>OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY, LLP<br>PLAZA VII, SUITE 3300<br>45 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET<br>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1609 |             |                        |                     |                  |
| EXAMINER<br>DURAN, ARTHUR D  |             |                        |                     |                  |
| ART UNIT<br>3622   |             | PAPER NUMBER           |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE<br>08/07/2008  |             | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/444,774

**Applicant(s)**

MIKURAK, MICHAEL G

**Examiner**

Arthur Duran

**Art Unit**

3622

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Arthur Duran. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Hirning, Robert. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 82.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant asked whether the features dated 4/1/08 which are number c) of claim 82 were addressed. Examiner agreed that these features of claim 82 c) were not addressed. Hence, a new action is sent out which addresses these features. Please see page 15, 16 of the action mailed after this interview Summary to see where these features are disclosed by the same and already utilized prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Arthur Duran/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.